BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING MINUTES June 20, 2017

TOPIC	DISCUSSION / ASSESSMENT / FINDINGS	ACTION
Attendance	Commissioner/Staff Present: Port Commissioners (PCs) James Herman, William Schmitt, Wayne Vinyard; Executive Director (ED) Marc Thornsbury; and Administrative Assistant/Port Auditor (AA/PA) Margie Ziegler. PC/Staff Absent: None. Guests Present: Darrin Eckman, Tenneson Engineering; Tammy Kaufman, Insitu; and Nancy White, Custom Interface.	Meeting called to order by PC Vinyard at 4:39 PM.
Administrative Matters Approval of Minutes	Minutes – May 16, 2017 Vouchers – June 1, 2017, #26997-27006, \$67,858.19.	PC Schmitt M to approve the minutes, PC Herman S, MP 3-0.
Approval of Vouchers	Payroll Vouchers – June 6, 2017, #26996, #D11774-D11788, \$12,686.18. Vouchers – June 12, 2017, #27007-27022, \$11,408.53. Payroll Vouchers – June 20, 2017, #27023-27024, #D11789-D11795, \$10,881.29.	PC Herman M approve the vouchers, PC Schmitt S, MP 3-0.
Old Business Marina Way Walking Path	Darrin Eckman, Tenneson Engineering said two bids were received and the lowest apparent bidder was Grade Worxs with a base bid of \$114,968.20, a first deductive alternate of -\$22,365, and a second deductive alternate of -\$15,671. Eckman explained the first deductive alternate would eliminate the segment from Larch St. to Marina Park and the second deductive alternate would reduce the path width from eight feet to five feet between Maple St. and Larch St. Eckman explained a vegetated strip is planned for the area between the road and path to treat stormwater and includes several sleeves to allow	By consensus, the PC directed staff to execute a contract with Grade Worxs to construct an 8ft wide paved path from Maple Street to Marina Park at a cost of \$114,968.20 with no deductive alternates.

June 20, 2017 Page 1 of 6

Marina Way Walking Path Continued added that these items could be removed from the project and the work completed by Port staff to reduce costs. ED Thornsbury said Port staff were in a better position to do the sleeves than the vegetated strip and noted that adding more projects to the Port's maintenance staff would mean other projects would have to be delayed. ED Thornsbury explained that bids were secured for the project to avoid a change order to the grading project that would have exceeded ten percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deuctive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury rended the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. B10 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional paths.	Old Business continued	for future irrigation or other uses without requiring asphalt cuts. He	
were in a better position to do the sleeves than the vegetated strip and noted that adding more projects to the Port's maintenance staff would mean other projects would have to be delayed. ED Thornsbury explained that bids were secured for the project to avoid a change order to the grading project that would have exceeded ten percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional parts of the plan and the plan and the project the additional parts of the plan and t	·	added that these items could be removed from the project and the work	
noted that adding more projects to the Port's maintenance staff would mean other projects would have to be delayed. ED Thornsbury explained that bids were secured for the project to avoid a change order to the grading project that would have exceeded ten percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional project in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional project in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional project in the plan	Continued		
mean other projects would have to be delayed. ED Thornsbury explained that bids were secured for the project to avoid a change order to the grading project that would have exceeded ten percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional part of the plan.			
a change order to the grading project that would have exceeded ten percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. BC Schmitt M to approve the addi			
percent and to provide actual costs for various alternatives previously discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition and the project of the addition review.			
discussed by the PC so that an informed decision could be made. Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
Eckman stated that the actual paving will likely be subcontracted to Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the additional construction of the plan and plant to approve the additional construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted.			
Munson or Summit and noted there is always the option of doing a compacted gravel path instead. PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition a gravel path was \$40,000.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
the traffic on Marina Way. Schmitt expressed concern that the surface of a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review		compacted gravel path instead.	
a gravel path would not hold up and pedestrians would quickly return to using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review		PC Herman and PC Schmitt reiterated the need to get people away from	
using the road. PC Vinyard questioned the savings of a five foot wide path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
path versus an eight foot wide path. Eckman noted that the deductive alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
alternate covered only a reduction in width for the segment running from Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition review		, ,	
Maple St. to Larch St. Schmitt worried that failing to complete the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness. Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition to the plan alternates of the path all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness.			
Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition review			
impact of the alternates and possible change orders, and the effect of the alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition review		all the way to Marina Park would be a mistake and limit its usefulness.	
alternates on the project's objectives. Thornsbury reminded the PC that the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review		Discussion followed concerning the deductive alternates, the financial	
the bid amount for the original construction of the sub-grade for a compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
compacted gravel path was \$49,000, leaving the balance of \$65,000 for the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
the full project un-budgeted. 310 Larch Street Addition Review ED Thornsbury noted that a discrepancy had been discovered in the plan PC Schmitt M to approve the addition Review			
Last marriagally ammarred by the UC and armigued that the governoon Laltanation UC Hammon S. M.D.	310 Larch Street Addition Review	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PC Schmitt M to approve the addition
		set previously approved by the PC and explained that the southeast	alteration, PC Herman S, MP. Page 2 of 6

Page 2 of 6 June 20, 2017

Old Business continued 310 Larch Street Addition Review continued	corner of the addition will extend just over a foot farther east than originally described. Thornsbury explained that the property is bounded by streets on two sides and Marina Park on the third. He added that because the provisions describing the required setbacks for the lot are unclear in such a situation, the Port does not have a basis for denying the change. Thornsbury stated staff have no concerns regarding the change.	
DIP Conveyor System Planning	ED Thornsbury noted the export of aggregate from the Dallesport Industrial Park barge dock has been contemplated for over a decade including the possible use of a conveyor system to transport material to the dock. He explained that continued economic growth has prompted renewed inquires from several parties interested in exporting aggregate and reminded the PC that substantial resources have been expended to plan for stormwater management and other improvements at the dock. Thornsbury suggested the PC consider whether such plans should take into account a conveyor system of some kind and noted that failure to assess the impact of a conveyor system could result in the construction of improvements that preclude, or require costly modifications to accommodate, the installation of such a system. PC Vinyard expressed concern regarding spending funds on a long-term project that will provide no immediate return. Thornsbury explained the question concerns planning how a conveyor system could be incorporated into the currently proposed improvements rather than construction of the system itself and noted that having to substantially alter those improvements to allow the construction of a conveyor would entail considerable expense. PC Schmitt said the estimated \$10,000 cost for additional engineering seemed reasonable. Vinyard stated his belief the Port would be remiss if it closed the door on this option, adding that the PC needs to know what can and cannot be done. Vinyard reiterated his desire to maintain the dock as a public facility for the possible future export of rock and agricultural products. PC Schmitt	By consensus, the PC supports incorporating a future conveyor system into current planning efforts and expressed interest in an update on the state of the rock market from James Dean.

June 20, 2017 Page 3 of 6

Old Business continued DIP Conveyor System Planning continued	reminded the PC that the Port has considered the idea for many years, yet no rock has ever crossed the dock. Thornsbury noted that preliminary planning would set the stage so that if an opportunity were to arise, the Port would be ready for it. PC Herman asked how much sellable rock is present in the Port's mine. Eckman explained he is currently working on expanding the mine boundaries and estimated as much as eight to ten million cubic yards of material could be available. Thornsbury noted other quarries on the peninsula could use the dock and other parties have indicated short-term and long-term interest in doing so. He added that interest will likely continue as barging is the most cost effective way to transport aggregate. PC Schmitt reminded the PC that several pits have shut down because of the scenic area and Ty Ross owns a load-out facility off Dallesport Road. Thornsbury remarked that there appears to be renewed interest in aggregate since the economic recovery and suggested that export operations near the Dallesport community would likely conflict with the residential development that has occurred in the last ten to twenty years. Herman pointed out that it was forward-looking commissions in the past that invested in the infrastructure at Bingen Point and made a place for companies like Insitu, adding that the	
New Business Barge Dock Availability/Use Policy	Port should do a little work to get the ball rolling in Dallesport. ED Thornsbury noted that James Dean was not in attendance and asked if the PC wished to discuss the matter or table it.	By consensus, the PC tabled the matter to the next meeting.
Port Development of Industrial Space	ED Thornsbury reminded the PC of its interest in developing startup space at the Dallesport Industrial Park (DIP) and noted that proposed state and federal budgetary changes may make securing funds difficult or impossible. He added that it may be time to look at the matter again while monies are still available. Thornsbury cautioned that waiting could mean that by the time the decision to move forward is made, the funding programs upon which the Port would rely might no longer exist. He requested direction on the matter from the PC.	By consensus, the PC directed ED Thornsbury to look into funding opportunities for construction of new buildings at DIP and BPBP.

June 20, 2017 Page 4 of 6

New Business continued	PC Vinyard reminded the PC it continues to state a desire to construct a	
Port Development of Industrial Space	start-up building at DIP, the Dallesport taxpayers have been very patient,	
continued	and this is an opportunity to encourage growth at the DIP. PC Schmitt	
	stated his desire to see development at the DIP and at the Bingen Point	
	Business Park (BPBP). Thornsbury discussed the difficulties in securing	
	state or federal funds for two concurrent projects and noted that any	
	financing through a bond issue might need to have an early call option so	
	if funding for a second building fell through, the portion of the bonds for	
	the second building could be retired early without penalty. He added that	
	issuing bonds was costly and a single issue would allow those costs to be	
	amortized over the full amount needed to construct two buildings.	
	PC Schmitt said the Port should move ahead and expressed interest in	
	using the plans for the last Port building completed in 2007. Thornsbury	
	cautioned that building orientation, wind impacts, and current code	
	requirements may prevent use of the plans without alteration. Vinyard	
	said he would like to move forward and reminded the PC it has been	
	focused on infrastructure for several years. He added that he was	
	comfortable taking on additional debt, provided it was within reason.	
	Schmitt stated the Port needs to continue pursing the matter.	
Bingen Point Way	ED Thornsbury stated that maintenance staff had cut out a section around	
	the potholes at the end of the asphalt and completed repairs according to	
	the Port engineer's direction. He added the repair will be monitored to	
	evaluate how it holds up over time. Thornsbury noted speeds have	
	already increased on the road. The possible location and use of speed	
N	humps was discussed.	D 1 DC 11 1 1 00
Newspaper Article	ED Thornsbury noted an editorial printed in <i>The Enterprise</i> made	By consensus, the PC directed staff to
	reference to the Bingen Point Business Park (BPBP). He expressed	respond to the editorial in <i>The</i>
	concern that several key facts were absent and, as a result, readers could	Enterprise.
	be left with the incorrect impression tenants of the BPBP were benefiting at the cost of the city of Bingen. Thornsbury asked if the PC wished to	
	respond to the editorial and offered a draft letter. PC Herman noted that	
1 20 2017	respond to the editorial and offered a diant fetter. Te fremian floted that	D 5 06

June 20, 2017 Page 5 of 6

New Business continued Newspaper Article continued	County Commissioner David Sauter talked with him about the editorial. He explained that Bingen was given the opportunity to receive funds for firefighting in exchange for addressing some property encroachment issues, but that no agreement had been received by the Port. Thornsbury noted the editorial covered more than just fire protection and seemed to imply that BPBP tenants are not paying their fair share. PC Vinyard said a letter to <i>The Enterprise</i> clarifying the matter is in order.	
Commissioners Remarks	PC Vinyard said the EDA has completed its Economic Development Plan and the next meetings will be held July 25 and August 22. Vinyard thanked PC Schmitt for attending the last EDA meeting in his absence.	
Public Comment	Nancy White, Custom Interface, said she recently purchased the building at 410 Larch St. and thanked ED Thornsbury for his work modifying the lease to meet the lender's requirements. White said Customer Interface started in 1997 and now has 70 employees. She talked about the need for family wage jobs and the training programs offered by the company. White invited everyone to an Open House on Thursday. Tammy Kaufman, Insitu, thanked the Port for repairing the pothole and added that Insitu remains interested in leasing Lot 22. Kaufman expressed appreciation for development of the multi-use path and is looking forward to construction.	
Adjournment	PC Vinyard adjourned the PC Meeting at 6:08 PM.	

Approved on _

July 18, 201 (Date)

Marc Thomsbury, Executive Director

Margie Ziegler, Administrative Assistant

Jim Herman, Secretary